Features of spin-charge separation in the equilibrium conductance through finite rings

Julián Rincón, A. A. Aligia, and K. Hallberg

Centro Atómico Bariloche and Instituto Balseiro, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, 8400 Bariloche, Argentina Received 11 September 2008; revised manuscript received 13 November 2008; published 15 January 2009-

We calculate the conductance through rings with few sites *L* described by the *t*-*J* model, threaded by a magnetic flux Φ and weakly coupled to conducting leads at two arbitrary sites. The model can describe a circular array of quantum dots with large charging energy *U* in comparison with the nearest-neighbor hopping t . We determine analytically the particular values of Φ for which a depression of the transmittance is expected as a consequence of spin-charge separation. We show numerically that the equilibrium conductance at zero temperature is depressed at those particular values of Φ for most systems, in particular at half filling, which might be easier to realize experimentally.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.79.035112](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.035112)

PACS number(s): 72.15.Qm, 75.40.Gb, 75.10.Jm, 76.60.Es

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in nanotechnology allow the fabrication of different nanostructures, motivated either by technological interest or the possibility of testing theories for strongly correlated electrons. One example is the realization of the Kondo effect in systems with one quantum dot (QD) .^{1-[4](#page-6-1)} Another one is a study of the metal-insulator transition in a chain of 15 QD's.⁵ Systems of a few QD's have been proposed theoretically as realizations of the two-channel Kondo model, $6,7$ $6,7$ the so-called ionic Hubbard model, 8 and the double exchange mechanism.⁹ Recently it has been studied how the Kondo resonance splits in two in a system of two QD's, one of them noninteracting. $10,11$ $10,11$

It is known that strong correlation effects invalidate the conventional quasiparticle description of Fermi liquids and in one dimension lead to a fractionalization of the electronic excitations into pure charge and spin modes as it has been shown using bosonization.^{12[–16](#page-6-10)} In this field theory, it becomes clear that in general (except in some particular models¹⁷) the Hamiltonian at low energies can be separated into independent charge and spin parts. While this separation is an asymptotic low-energy property in an infinite chain, exact Bethe ansatz results for the Hubbard model in the limit of infinite Coulomb repulsion *U* have shown that the wave function factorizes into a charge part and a spin part for any size of the system.¹⁸

Several experiments were reported that find indirect evidences of spin-charge separation, $19-23$ and it could also be potentially observed¹⁶ in systems such as cuprate chains and ladder compounds²⁴ and carbon nanotubes.²⁵ From the theoretical point of view, several calculations involving rings have been made. The real-time evolution of electronic wave packets in Hubbard rings has shown a splitting in the dispersion of the spin and charge densities as a consequence of the different charge and spin velocities.^{26,[27](#page-6-18)} Pseudospin-charge separation has also been studied theoretically in quasi-onedimensional quantum gases of fermionic atoms. $28,29$ $28,29$

The transmittance through Aharonov-Bohm rings of length *L* modeled by a Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid and connected to conducting leads at 0 and *L*/2 has been studied by analytical methods. $30,31$ $30,31$ It is found that the transmittance integrated over an energy window shows dips when the threaded magnetic flux Φ corresponds to particular fractional values of the flux quantum $\Phi_0 = hc/e$. This is rather striking because in the noninteracting case, one has a dip in the transmittance only when the applied flux $\Phi = \Phi_0 / 2$, for which the conductance vanishes due to a negative interference of the waves traveling through both arms of the interferometer. Jagla and Balseiro³⁰ obtained that the values of the flux at the dips are multiples of $\Phi_0 v_s / v_c$, where v_c (v_s) is the charge (spin) velocity, when v_s/v_c is a simple fraction. This allows an appealing simple "classical" interpretation of the phenomenon: the electrons enter the ring at position 0, splitting into charge and spin components, which travel independently inside the ring, until they recombine at *L*/2 before leaving the ring. When the difference between the Aharonov-Bohm phases captured by the charges traveling in both possible senses of rotation is an odd multiple of π , the transmittance is depressed. A more recent work, however, indicates that the relevant ratio for the dips is in general v_s/v_J , where v_J is the current velocity.³¹

Numerical calculations of the transmittance through finite rings described by the *t*-*J* model, integrated over an energy window also show clear dips for fractional applied fluxes.³² This model is expected to be a realistic description for a ring of quantum dots if the charging energy *U* is large in comparison with the nearest-neighbor hopping *t*. Recently we have discussed the extension of these results to ladders of two legs as a first step to higher dimensions.³³

All the above calculations used a formalism valid at equilibrium and zero temperature and an integration over a finite energy window to obtain the dips. In particular in Ref. [32](#page-6-23) this window contained all low-energy spin excitations with the same charge quantum numbers n_l (see Sec. [III](#page-2-0)). In principle, this integration can be justified invoking a finite voltage bias or temperature. However, in the interacting case, under an applied bias, it is not clear that the total current through the device can be obtained by integrating the *equilibrium* transmittance. In particular, it might happen that a particle injected to the ring leaves it in an excited spin state after leaving it. This process is not taken into account in the calculations. The effect of temperatures of the order of the spin excitation energy is also difficult to predict. These shortcomings raise the question of whether the dips can be really observed in an experimental setup.

FIG. 1. Scheme of the systems studied numerically. (a) $L=6$ and (b) 7. In both cases $M=3$.

In this work, we analyze the origin of the dips in the transmittance as a function of applied flux in finite rings described by a strongly correlated model and, in particular, the *t*-*J* model. We discuss the conditions for which the intensity of the first peak in the equilibrium conductance at zero temperature as a function of the gate voltage has a flux dependence with characteristic dips as a consequence of spincharge separation.

In Sec. [II](#page-1-0) we present the model, the formalism used to calculate the conductance, and some general statements on the conditions for which dips or reduced conductances are expected. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0) we discuss the model with $J=0$ (equivalent to the Hubbard model with infinite U), for which definite conclusions can be drawn on the basis of its exactly known energy spectrum. Section [IV](#page-4-0) contains numerical results for some particular systems at which dips in the conductance at certain fluxes are expected at equilibrium and small temperatures. Section V is the summary and discussion.

II. MODEL AND RELEVANT EQUATIONS

A. Hamiltonian

We consider a ring of *L* sites weakly connected to noninteracting leads at sites 0 and *M* (Fig. [1](#page-1-1)). Usually $M = L/2$ was taken,^{30–[32](#page-6-23)} but we will see that *L* odd and/or $M \neq L/2$ lead to interesting new results.

The Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
H = H_{\text{ring}} + H_{\text{leads}} + H_{\text{links}}.\tag{1}
$$

The first term describes the isolated ring, with on-site energy modified by a gate voltage V_{ϱ} and hoppings modified by the phase $\exp(i\phi/L)$ due to the circulation of the vector potential. For most of the results of this paper we use the *t*-*J* model to describe the ring,

$$
H_{\text{ring}} = -eV_g \sum_{i\sigma} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} - t(c_{i+1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} e^{i\phi/L} + \text{H.c.})
$$

$$
+ J \sum_{i} \left(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i+1} - \frac{1}{4} \right), \tag{2}
$$

where $\phi = 2\pi \Phi / \Phi_0$ and S_i is the spin operator at site *i*. Moreover double occupancy is not allowed at any site of the ring. The second term corresponds to two tight-binding semiinfinite chains for the left and right leads;

$$
H_{\text{leads}} = -t \sum_{i=-\infty, \sigma}^{-1} a_{i-1, \sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i, \sigma} - t \sum_{i=1, \sigma}^{\infty} a_{i, \sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i+1, \sigma} + \text{H.c.}
$$
 (3)

The third term in Eq. (1) (1) (1) describes the coupling of the left $(right)$ lead with site 0 (M) of the ring,

$$
H_{\text{links}} = -t' \sum_{\sigma} (a_{-1,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{0\sigma} + a_{1,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{M\sigma} + \text{H.c.}). \tag{4}
$$

B. Conductance

To calculate the conductance through the ring, one needs, in principle, to know some Green's functions of the complete system.^{34,[35](#page-6-26)} However, when the ground state of the isolated ring is nondegenerate and the coupling *t'* between the leads and ring is weak, the equilibrium conductance at zero temperature can be expressed to second order in *t'* in terms of the retarded Green's function for the isolated ring between sites *i* and *j*: $G_{i,j}^R(\omega)$.^{[8,](#page-6-5)[30](#page-6-21)} For an incident particle with energy $\omega = -2t \cos k$ and momentum $\pm k$, the transmittance reads

$$
T(\omega, V_g, \phi) = \frac{4t^2 \sin^2 k |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}(\omega)|^2}{\left| [\omega - \epsilon(\omega) + te^{ik}]^2 - |\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^2(\omega)| \right|^2},\tag{5}
$$

where

$$
\epsilon(\omega) = t'^2 G_{00}^R(\omega), \quad \tilde{t}(\omega) = t'^2 G_{0M}^R(\omega)
$$
 (6)

play the role of a correction to the on-site energy at the extremes of the leads and an effective hopping between them, respectively.

Although derived from perturbation theory, this equation is in fact exact for a noninteracting system. However, in general, for an odd number of electrons, the ground state of the isolated ring is Kramers degenerate for a system with time-reversal symmetry and the equation ceases to be valid, missing the physics of the ensuing (nonperturbative) Kondo effect in which the electrons of the leads screen the spin of the ring. $8,36$ $8,36$ Nevertheless, the characteristic energy of this Kondo effect decreases exponentially with decreasing *t*, and therefore for small enough t' the Kondo effect is destroyed by a Zeeman term or temperature small in comparison with the other energy scales in the problem. Here, we assume this situation. The effect of a Zeeman term on the conductance in the Kondo regime has been explicitly shown, mapping the problem to an effective Anderson model and solving it by nonperturbative methods.⁸ In addition, the main conclusions of this work are of qualitative nature and are not affected by the accuracy of Eq. (5) (5) (5) .

The conductance is $G = (ne^2/h)T(\mu, V_g, \phi)$, where $n=1$ or 2 depending if the spin degeneracy is broken or not,⁸ and μ is the Fermi level, which we set as zero (half-filled leads). As the gate voltage V_g is varied a peak in the conductance is obtained when there is a degeneracy in the ground state of the ring for two consecutive number of particles: $E_g(N+1)$ $=E_g(N)$, where $E_g(N)$ is the ground-state energy of H_{ring} with *N* electrons. To simplify the discussion and without loss of generality, we assume that we start with *N*+1 electrons in the ring and apply a negative gate voltage in such a way that a peak in the conductance is obtained at a critical value V_g^c when the number of electrons in the ring changes from \hat{N} $+1$ to *N* electrons. Note that Eq. ([5](#page-1-3)) formally gives more peaks in the transmittance when at several values of V_g

 $\langle V_g^c \rangle$ excited states *e* of *N* electrons are reached $[E_e(N)]$ $=E_g(N+1)$. However these peaks are in principle not experimentally accessible since the ground state has less than *N*+1 particles for $V_g \leq V_g^c$. In any case, the information on the excited states of *N* electrons is relevant to explain not only the position of the dips as a function of flux ϕ in the integrated transmittance^{30[–32](#page-6-23)} but also the values of the flux at which particular reductions in the intensity of the observable first peak in the conductance are obtained.

C. Dips in the conductance

The values of the flux ϕ_d for which dips or reduced conductances are expected correspond to some particular crossings of the energy levels of *N* electrons. Far from these crossings and to leading order in *t'*, the transmittance as a function of gate voltage V_g has a Lorentzian shape reaching the maximum value $(T=1)$ for V_g such that $E_e(N) = E_g(N)$ $+1$) (where the subscript *e* refers to any state in the subspace of *N* electrons) and half-width at half maximum,

$$
w_e = \frac{2(t')^2}{t} |\langle e|c_{0\sigma}|g\rangle|^2, \tag{7}
$$

where $|g\rangle$ is the ground state in the subspace of $N+1$ electrons assumed nondegenerated[.8](#page-6-5) This assumption is always true in the presence of a small Zeeman magnetic field except at particular values of the flux that do not correspond to ϕ_d , which are not relevant for the present analysis.

Keeping this assumption, some conclusions can be drawn for the general case using symmetry arguments. Using the Lehman's representation, the part of the Green's function $G_{0j}^{R}(\omega)$ that enters the transmittance [Eq. ([6](#page-1-4))] when a particle is destroyed is

$$
G_{0j}^{R}(\omega) = \sum_{e} \frac{\langle g | c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} | e \rangle \langle e | c_{0\sigma} | g \rangle}{\omega + E_e - E_g}.
$$
 (8)

Since the ring is invariant under rotations *R* that map each site to its consecutive one $Rc_{j\sigma}^{\dagger}R^{\dagger} = c_{j+1\sigma}^{\dagger}$, the eigenstates of H_{ring} are also eigenstates of *R*. Denoting K_{ν} as the wave vector of the state $|\nu\rangle$, one has $R|\nu\rangle = \exp(iK_{\nu})|\nu\rangle$, and therefore $\langle g | c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} | e \rangle = \exp[i(j(K_g - K_e)] \langle g | c_{0\sigma}^{\dagger} | e \rangle$. Replacing in the above equation one obtains

$$
G_{0j}^{R}(\omega) = \sum_{e} \frac{e^{-ij(K_e - K_g)} |\langle e|_{C_{0\sigma}} |g\rangle|^2}{\omega + E_e - E_g}.
$$
 (9)

At the values of the flux for which two states of *N* electrons $|e\rangle$ and $|e'\rangle$ are degenerate, assuming that the corre-sponding matrix elements entering Eq. ([9](#page-2-1)) are nonzero, clearly only these two states [which correspond to the dominant poles of $G_{0j}^R(\omega)$] contribute significantly to the Green's function at the Fermi energy ($\omega = \mu = 0$) when *V_g* (which displaces all E_e rigidly with respect to E_g) is tuned in such a way that $E_e = E_e / \sim E_g$. Replacing Eq. ([9](#page-2-1)) with only these two leading terms in Eqs. (5) (5) (5) and (6) (6) (6) one has an analytical expression for $T(\mu, V_g, \phi)$ in terms of two matrix elements, proportional to w_e and $w_{e'}$ [Eq. ([7](#page-2-2))] and a relative phase β $= \exp[iM(K_{e'} - K_e)]$ between them in $G_{0M}^R(\omega)$ [Eq. ([9](#page-2-1))]. It is

easy to see that if $\beta = 1$, the transmittance for $E_e = E_e$ has the same form for a nondegenerate state but with a width *we* $+w_e$ equal to the sum of the individual ones. In this case, nothing dramatic happens. In particular the integrated transmittance in a window which includes those levels as a function of flux does not change at the crossing between E_e and $E_{e'}$.

If, however, $\beta \neq 1$, $G_{0M}^R(\omega)$ and thus the transmittance, which is proportional to $|G_{0M}^R(\omega)|^2$ [Eqs. ([5](#page-1-3)) and ([6](#page-1-4))] are reduced near the crossing. This effect is more noticeable if β =−1 and $w_e = w_e$. In any case, if $\beta \neq 1$, the abovementioned analytical expression *vanishes* at the crossing point and the transmittance as a function of gate voltage shows a peak with a dip inside (Fig. 4).

Note that these results are independent of the particular model used. In particular if the conductance through a ring with $N'=N+1$ electrons is measured, with leads connected near 180° ($M = L/2$) and the ground state for $N' \pm 1$ electrons has a level crossing involving two wave vectors differing in $2n\pi/L$ with *n* odd for a given flux, then the conductance shows a dip at that flux.

III. ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR *J***= 0**

The general results described above can be made more explicit for $J=0$. In this case, the model is equivalent to the Hubbard model with infinite on-site repulsion *U*, for which the wave function can be factorized into a spin part and a charge part[.18,](#page-6-12)[32,](#page-6-23)[37](#page-6-28) Therefore, spin-charge separation becomes apparent. For each spin state, the system can be mapped into a spinless model with an effective flux which depends on the total spin. While the analysis given below can be made using the Bethe ansatz formalism, 18 here we follow the elegant method of Caspers and Ilske[.37](#page-6-28) For a system of *N* particles one can construct spin-wave functions which transform under the irreducible representations of the group C_N of cyclic permutations of the *N* spins of the *L*-site system. Each of these representations is labeled by a "spin" wave vector k_s $=2\pi n_s/N$, where the integer n_s characterizes the spin-wave function. The total energy and momentum (in an appropriate gauge) of any state of the ring have simple expressions:

$$
E = -2t\sum_{l=1}^{N} \cos(k_l), \quad k_l = \frac{2\pi n_l + \phi_{\text{eff}}}{L}, \quad (10)
$$

$$
K = \sum k_l = \left[2\pi(n_c + n_s) + N\phi\right]/L,\tag{11}
$$

$$
\phi_{\rm eff} = \phi + k_s = \phi + \frac{2\pi}{N} n_s,\tag{12}
$$

where the integers n_l characterize the charge part of the wave function and $n_c = \sum n_l$.

The calculation of the Green's functions becomes very involved due to difficulties in handling the wave functions. However, even without calculating the matrix elements entering Eq. (9) (9) (9) , we can predict the positions of dips in the transmittance from a knowledge of the energies and momenta of the eigenstates.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Energy levels for a system of four sites and three electrons for $J=0$. Solid lines correspond to the spin quantum number n_s =0, dashed lines to n_s =−2, and dotted lines to *n_s*=−1. The different colors indicate different charge configurations.

First let us analyze the level crossings for *L* even and *M* $=L/2$ (the most studied case^{30–[32](#page-6-23)}) with *N* odd. We recall the reader that we start with a system with *N*+1 electrons and create a hole, leading to an intermediate state with *N* electrons. The energy levels for the case with *L*=4 and *N*=3 are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-3-0) In the subspace with *N* electrons, the ground state $|0\rangle$ [with energy $E_0(\phi)$] for $n_s = \phi = 0$ has occupied momenta $k_l = 2\pi n_l / L$, with consecutive $n_l = -(N-1)/2$, 1–*(N* −1)/2, ..., (N−1)/2, and therefore *n_c*=0. As the flux increases to 2π , this state evolves to state $|1\rangle$, in which n_l $=-(N-1)/2$ is replaced by $(N+1)/2$ with $n_c=N$. Therefore we can write $E_1(0) = E_0(2\pi)$. The lower "charge band" (used usually to integrate the transmittance³²) extends between $E_0(0)$ and $E_0(2\pi)$. Clearly from Eq. ([10](#page-2-3)) $E_0(-\phi) = E_0(\phi)$ and a state $|-1\rangle$ exists with all k_l opposite to those of $|1\rangle$. In the enlarged interval $-2π≤ φ≤2π$, the ground-state energy is reached for $E_1(-2\pi) = E_0(0) = E_{-1}(2\pi)$ when $n_s = 0$ (full lines in Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). Now keeping the same charge quantum numbers as $|0\rangle$ but allowing spin states with $n_s \neq 0$, new eigenfunctions appear, whose energies and momenta are given by $E_0^{n_s}(\phi) = E_0(\phi + 2\pi n_s/N)$ and $K = (2\pi n_s + N\phi)/L$. These states reach the ground-state energy at $\phi = -2\pi n_s/N$ (dashed and dotted lines in Fig. 2).^{[38](#page-6-29)} The crossings of energy levels at low energies take place at intermediate points between any two of these minima $\phi = -\pi (n_s + n'_s)/N$. When $n_s + n'_s$ is odd (even) the relative phase $\beta = \exp[iL(K_{e'} - K_e)/2] = \exp[i(n_s')$ $[-n_s$) = −1 (1), and there is (there is not) a dip in the integrated transmittance. Therefore, the positions of the dips are located at

$$
\phi_d = \pi (2n+1)/N, \tag{13}
$$

with *n* integer. These are also the positions where crossings in the (experimentally accessible) ground state for *N* particles take place $(n_s' - n_s = \pm 1$, see Fig. [2](#page-3-0)).^{[38](#page-6-29)}

The same expression is valid for *N* even; but in this case for $n_s = 0$, the minimum energy lies at $\phi = \pi$, $E_0(2\pi - \phi)$ $=E_0(\phi)$, and the crossings occur when $\phi = \pi - \pi (n_s + n_s)/N$. Performing the sums in Eqs. (10) (10) (10) and (11) (11) (11) using the quantum numbers that lead to the minimum energy, as explained above, analytical expressions are obtained for the ground-

FIG. 3. (Color online) Total integral of the transmittance for a system of $L=4$ sites and $N+1=4$ electrons for $J=0.01$, $t'=0.3t$, and two positions of the leads at *M*.

state energy and momentum as a function of flux for *L* sites and *N* particles, $E_g(L, N, \phi)$ and $K_g(L, N, \phi)$. Defining

$$
\tilde{\phi} = \phi \quad \text{for odd } N,
$$

$$
\tilde{\phi} = \phi - \pi \quad \text{for even } N,
$$
 (14)

and writing $\overline{\phi}$ in the form

$$
\tilde{\phi} = \min \left[\frac{N \tilde{\phi}}{2 \pi} \right] \frac{2 \pi}{N} + \tilde{\phi}_r, \tag{15}
$$

where nint $[x]$ denotes the nearest integer to *x*, one obtains

$$
E_g(L, N, \phi) = -2t \frac{\sin(N\pi/L)\cos(\tilde{\phi}_r/L)}{\sin(\pi/L)},
$$
 (16)

$$
K_g(L, N, \phi) = N\tilde{\phi}/L. \tag{17}
$$

For odd *N*, the transmittance vanishes at $\phi = \pi$ due to the reflection symmetry of the system.³² This argument does not work for even *N* because the ground state of H_{ring} has orbital degeneracy for $N+1$ electrons at $\phi = \pi$. It cannot be applied either for $M \neq L/2$ (where the reflection symmetry is lost⁸) or if the model includes hopping at large distances (as in Ref. [31](#page-6-22)).

If the ring is connected to the leads at a distance *M* $\neq L/2$, the dips at ϕ_d are less intense because $\beta \neq -1$ if *n_s* $+n'_{s}$ is odd. However, also $\beta \neq 1$ if $n_{s}+n'_{s}$ is even and therefore, new dips appear at the remaining crossings,

$$
\phi_d' = 2\pi n/N,\tag{18}
$$

with *n* integer.

The same arguments can be repeated for states with other charge quantum numbers lying at higher energies. These results have been verified numerically and generalize those obtained previously for *L* even, $M = L/2$ and odd N^{32} N^{32} N^{32} In particular, the total integrated transmittance for a half-filled ring with $L=4$ (so $N=L-1=3$ $N=L-1=3$) is shown in Fig. 3 for two positions of the drain lead, at $M=1$ (90° configuration) and M $=L/2$ (180°).

Some particular crossings satisfying Eq. ([13](#page-3-2)) do not lead to dips because one of the matrix elements of Eq. (9) (9) (9) vanishes as a consequence of selection rules related with the total spin. For example, for the system of Fig. [3](#page-3-1) the ground state with four electrons is a singlet, and one of the states with lowest energy for $N=3$ that cross at $\phi_d \neq \pi$ is a spin quartet which cannot be accessed by destroying an electron from a singlet. Therefore, in this system, no depression of the conductance can be observed at equilibrium, at zero temperature (for which only the ground state for any number of particles is accessible), and at fluxes different from half a flux quantum $(\phi_d = \pi)$. At this flux a depression of the conductance is already expected for a noninteracting system. However, this is not the general case, and with increasing number of particles, states of low total spin are part of the ground state for any flux. Already for five particles, the 32 spin-wave functions can be classified as one sextuplet with $n_s = 0$, one quadruplet for each of the four $n_s \neq 0$ and five doublets (one for each of the five nonequivalent n_s). Addition of *J*, in general favors the lowest total spin (some exceptions for low J will be discussed below).

Note that for a half-filled system, the energy is zero for $J=0$ independent of the spin configuration [see Eq. (16) (16) (16)]. Therefore addition of an antiferromagnetic exchange favors the lowest total spin: $0(1/2)$ for an even (odd) number of particles.

To end this section we note that the spin velocity in the limit *J*→0 depends strongly on flux. It can be shown that for large *N*, it is $v_s = v_c / N^2$ or $v_s = v_c / N$ for ϕ_{eff} that leads to the minimum or maximum energy, respectively.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we present numerical results for the transmittance, obtained diagonalizing the ring using Davidson's method 39 in order to obtain the Green's functions, which replaced in Eqs. (5) (5) (5) and (6) (6) (6) , give the transmittance. The systems studied are represented in Fig. [1.](#page-1-1) In contrast to previous work, $30-33$ we concentrate on the first peak in the transmittance as the gate voltage is decreased, which is experimentally accessible at equilibrium and low temperatures. For weakly coupled rings, this means that one has a system with *N*+1 electrons in the ring; a hole enters it from one of the leads, interacting with low-energy intermediate states with *N* electrons before leaving the ring at the other lead, while the ring returns to the ground state. Therefore the conductance gives information on the low-energy eigenstates of the ring and, as shown above for $J \rightarrow 0$, on the separation of charge and spin degrees of freedom in a strongly interacting system. Similar results can be obtained for increasing gate voltage. In any case the electronic structure of the low-energy intermediate states is reflected.

In Fig. [4](#page-4-1) we show the transmittance for a half-filled ring of six sites connected with the leads at opposite sites [see Fig. $1(a)$ $1(a)$ near a crossing of excited states with *N*=5 particles. The value of $M = L/2 = 3$ and the particular crossing were chosen so that according to the previous sections, a large negative interference is expected leading to a depressed conductance. For $J=0$, the crossing occurs at $\phi_d = \pi/5$, but finite *J* displaces it to smaller values. We have chosen a finite value of *J* to break the degeneracy at $J=0$ between eigenstates with n_s =0 with total spin $S=1/2$ and $5/2$ for $N=5$, as

FIG. 4. Low-energy part of the transmittance as a function of gate voltage for a half-filled ring of $L=6$ sites, $J=0.03t$, $t'=0.3t$, and *M* =3 near a level crossing of the intermediate states with *N* $=$ 5 particles. The crossing is at $\phi_d \approx 0.07743\pi$ and the different curves from left to right correspond to $(\phi - \phi_d)/\pi = -0.04$, -0.02, −0.01, 0, 0.01, 0.02, and 0.04.

discussed at the end of Sec. [III.](#page-2-0) In any case, the states with *S*=5/2 do not contribute to the transmittance since they cannot be reached, destroying an electron in the singlet ground state for six electrons.

Near the flux ϕ_d for which two five-electron states with *S*=1/2 are degenerate, both corresponding peaks in the transmittance merge into one, therefore only one peak is seen for each flux (Fig. [4](#page-4-1)). Note that far from the crossing the width of each peak is given by Eq. (7) (7) (7) and increases with t' . Near the crossing, two poles of the Green's functions dominate the transmittance as discussed in Sec. ΠC , and they contribute with opposite sign to it. As a consequence a strong depression of the conductance takes place at ϕ_d . In particular, Eqs. (5) (5) (5) and (6) (6) (6) indicate that the transmittance vanishes at $\phi = \phi_d$ for the value of the gate voltage at which the energies of both five electron states coincide with that of the ground state for six electrons. This might be an artifact of these expressions which are perturbative and are not expected to be valid near this point of triple degeneracy.³⁶ However, the physical origin of the depression of the conductance is clear and should be present in a more elaborate treatment.

To quantify in a systematic way the relative intensity of the conductance that might be measured in an experimental setup, we integrate the transmittance given by Eqs. (5) (5) (5) and ([6](#page-1-4)) in a window of gate voltage V_g of width 0.002*t* centered around the degeneracy point between the ground state for *N*+1 and *N* electrons. This corresponds to the intensity of the first observable peak in the transmittance as the gate voltage is lowered. The result as a function of the applied magnetic flux for the same system of Fig. [4](#page-4-1) is represented in Fig. [5.](#page-5-1) The curve is symmetric under the change in sign of ϕ , and therefore we show only the interval $0 \le \phi \le \pi$. For $J \to 0$ the dips should occur at ϕ_d/π =0.2, 0.6, and 1 according to Eq. (13) (13) (13) . However, near 0.6 the ground state for five electrons changes from one of total spin *S*=1/2–3/2 and the latter is not accessible, destroying an electron in the six-electron singlet ground state. Therefore, the transmittance vanishes at the gate voltage for which the ground state for five and six electrons have the same energy if $0.6 < \phi \leq \pi$. As a consequence,

FIG. 5. (Color online) Intensity of the first peak in the transmittance as the gate voltage is lowered, I_{fp} , as a function of applied magnetic flux for a ring of six sites and six electrons, $M=3$ [Fig. [1](#page-1-1)(a)], $t' = 0.3t$, and several values of *J*. The curves with $J > 0.001t$ are displaced vertically for the sake of clarity.

in the interval shown there is only one dip present. The position of this dip moves to lower values of ϕ with increasing *J*. As might be expected the region of magnetic flux for which the ground state with five electrons has low total spin $(S=1/2)$ increases with increasing antiferromagnetic exchange *J*.

For a given flux, if the gate voltage is decreased further after the first peak in the transmittance is observed, the ground state of the ring has now five electrons and the next peak corresponds to the degeneracy of the ground states for four and five electrons. Figure [6](#page-5-2) illustrates this situation. For $J \rightarrow 0$, Eq. ([13](#page-3-2)) gives dips in the integrated transmittance for ϕ_d/π =0.25 and 0.75. This agrees with the numerical calculations. The steps observed for intermediate values of *J* are again due to jumps in the total spin of one of the ground states (for four or five electrons). In particular for ϕ/π ≈ 0.83 for *J*=0.05, the total spin of the five-electron ground state jumps from $S=1/2$ for lower values of ϕ to $S=3/2$ for higher values of the flux.

Finally in Fig. [7](#page-5-3) we show the results for a half-filled ring of seven sites with $M=3$ [see Fig. [1](#page-1-1)(b)]. For low *J*, the dips are expected at $\phi_d/\pi = 1/6$, 1/2, and 5/6 in close agreement with the numerical results. For increasing *J* the dips tend to merge into one near $\phi = \pi/2$. Preparing the system with an odd number of electrons, like a half-filled system with an odd number of sites, has the advantage that more than one total spin is available for the intermediate states (0 and 1 in this case) and more dips are observable.

FIG. 6. (Color online) Same as Fig. [5](#page-5-1) for five electrons.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Same as Fig. [5](#page-5-1) for a ring of seven sites, seven electrons, and $M=3$ [Fig. [1](#page-1-1)(b)].

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have shown for the first time that the equilibrium conductance through finite rings described by the *t*-*J* model threaded by a magnetic flux, weakly coupled to conducting leads at *zero* temperature, shows depressions at particular values of the flux. In general, for any strongly correlated model, these depressions are related with level crossings of excited states and the degree of interference depends on the wave vectors of these excited states, as described in Sec. [II C.](#page-2-5) Previous results involved an integration over an energy window which included several excited states, and this procedure could cast doubts on the validity of the behavior for equilibrium conductance at zero or very low temperatures.

For the *t*-*J* model and *J*=0, using the exactly known energy spectrum of the isolated ring, we have determined the conditions under which dips in the integrated transmittance should occur for different number of particles and sites of the ring. The position of the dips reflect the particular features of the spectrum for $J=0$, in which the charge and spin degrees of freedom are separated at all energies, and not only asymptotically at low energies, as expected in Luttinger liquids.^{12–[15](#page-6-31)}

In the equilibrium conductance at zero temperature, only the first peak in the transmittance as a function of gate voltage is accessible in an experimental setup. Depression of this conductance is expected in general at certain values of the applied magnetic flux, which are given by Eq. (13) (13) (13) for $J=0$. The negative interference is more marked if the leads are connected at angles near 180°. These results are confirmed by our numerical calculations. The positions of depressed conductance are modified as *J* is increased in a way which seems difficult to predict. For moderate values of *J* it is not clear for us how to relate any particular position to a specific change in the spin quantum number. In addition, the number of these positions seems to decrease with increasing *J*. This is in qualitative agreement with expectations based on the increase in the spin velocity, $30-32$ which should increase with *J*. In particular, our results are valid for half-filled systems which we believe are easier to realize experimentally. Note, however, that due to particular selection rules explained at the end of Sec. [III,](#page-2-0) the system size *L* should be different than four to observe dips in the conductance. In any case and for any model, if the energy, wave vector, and spin of the ground state is known when one particle is added to or removed from the system, the position of the dips can be predicted following the arguments of Sec. [II C.](#page-2-5)

Due to selection rules related with total spin, more dips are expected for systems with an odd number of particles.

Our numerical results were based on an expression for the conductance first used by Jagla and Balseiro, 30 which is perturbative in the coupling of the ring with the leads $[Eqs. (5)]$ $[Eqs. (5)]$ $[Eqs. (5)]$ and (6) (6) (6)]. This expression cannot capture nonperturbative effects, such as the Kondo physics[.36](#page-6-27) However, the physics of the depression of the conductance is present independently of the formalism used to calculate it. Nevertheless a more elaborate calculation of the conductance would be desirable. One possibility is to use numerical results for the ring to

- ¹D. Goldhaber-Gordon, H. Shtrikman, D. Mahalu, D. Abusch-Magder, U. Meirav, and M. A. Kastner, Nature (London) 391, 156 (1998).
- ²S. M. Cronenwett, T. H. Oosterkamp, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Science 281, 540 (1998).
- 3D. Goldhaber-Gordon, J. Göres, M. A. Kastner, H. Shtrikman, D. Mahalu, and U. Meirav, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5225 (1998).
- 4W. G. van der Wiel, S. de Franceschi, T. Fujisawa, J. M. Elzerman, S. Tarucha, and L. P. Kowenhoven, Science **289**, 2105 $(2000).$
- 5L. P. Kouwenhoven, F. W. J. Hekking, B. J. van Wees, C. J. P. M. Harmans, C. E. Timmering, and C. T. Foxon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 361 (1990).
- 6Y. Oreg and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 136602 $(2003).$
- ⁷ R. Žitko and J. Bonča, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 224411 (2006).
- 8A. A. Aligia, K. Hallberg, B. Normand, and A. P. Kampf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 076801 (2004).
- ⁹G. B. Martins, C. A. Büsser, K. A. Al-Hassanieh, A. Moreo, and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 026804 (2005).
- 10L. G. G. V. Dias da Silva, N. P. Sandler, K. Ingersent, and S. E. Ulloa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 096603 (2006); 99, 209702 (2007); L. Vaugier, A. A. Aligia, and A. M. Lobos, *ibid.* **99**, 209701 $(2007).$
- 11L. Vaugier, A. A. Aligia, and A. M. Lobos, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 165112 (2007).
- ¹²F. D. M. Haldane, J. Phys. C **14**, 2585 (1981).
- ¹³ H. J. Schulz, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 5, 57 (1991).
- 14K.-V. Pham, M. Gabay, and P. Lederer, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 16397 $(2000).$
- 15T. Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2004).
- 16K. Schönhammer, in *Strong Interactions in Low Dimensions*, edited by D. Baeriswyl and L. Degiorgi Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2005); A. Yacoby, *ibid.*
- ¹⁷ A. A. Aligia, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 041101(R) (2004).
- ¹⁸M. Ogata and H. Shiba, Phys. Rev. B 41, 2326 (1990).
- ¹⁹ J. Voit, Rep. Prog. Phys. **58**, 977 (1995).
- ²⁰ J. Voit, in *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Recent Progress in Many-Body Physics*, edited by D. Neilson

construct an effective model for the low-energy physics including the leads and solve the model by nonperturbative methods. This approach has been followed in simpler problems.^{8,[36](#page-6-27)}

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This investigation was sponsored by CONICET under Grant No. PIP 5254 and ANPCyT under Grant No. PICT 2006/483. We are partially supported by CONICET.

(World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).

- 21C. Kim, A. Y. Matsuura, Z. X. Shen, N. Motoyama, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, T. Tohyama, and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4054 (1996).
- 22C. L. Kane and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3192 (1996); R. W. Hill, C. Proust, L. Taillefer, P. Fournier, and L. Greene, Nature (London) 414, 711 (2001).
- ²³Q. Si, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1767 (1997); Physica C **341-348**, 1519 (2000).
- ²⁴ E. Dagotto and T. M. Rice, Science **271**, 618 (1996).
- 25A. De Martino, R. Egger, K. Hallberg, and C. A. Balseiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 206402 (2002); R. Egger and A. O. Gogolin, *ibid.* **79**, 5082 (1997).
- 26E. A. Jagla, K. Hallberg, and C. A. Balseiro, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 5849 (1993).
- 27C. Kollath, U. Schollwöck, and W. Zwerger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 176401 (2005).
- 28A. Recati, P. O. Fedichev, W. Zwerger, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 020401 (2003).
- 29L. Kecke, H. Grabert, and W. Hausler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 176802 (2005).
- ³⁰ E. A. Jagla and C. A. Balseiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 639 (1993).
- ³¹ S. Friederich and V. Meden, Phys. Rev. B 77, 195122 (2008).
- 32 K. Hallberg, A. A. Aligia, A. P. Kampf, and B. Normand, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 067203 (2004).
- ³³ J. Rincón, K. Hallberg, and A. A. Aligia, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 125115 (2008).
- ³⁴ Y. Meir and N. S. Wingreen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2512 (1992).
- 35A.-P. Jauho, N. S. Wingreen, and Y. Meir, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 5528 $(1994).$
- 36A. M. Lobos and A. A. Aligia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 016803 $(2008).$
- ³⁷ W. Caspers and P. Ilske, Physica A **157**, 1033 (1989); A. Schadschneider, Phys. Rev. B 51, 10386 (1995).
- ³⁸ Note that from Eqs. ([10](#page-2-3)) and ([11](#page-2-4)), adding *N* to n_s is equivalent to adding 2π to the flux or 1 to all n_l , and so we are allowed to consider values of n_s outside the "natural" interval $0 \leq n_s$ $\leq N-1$.
- ³⁹ E. R. Davidson, J. Comput. Phys. 17, 87 (1975); Comput. Phys. Commun. 53, 49 (1989).